



Joint IAEA-WHO-UNICEF Workshop on Analysis of Biological Pathways to Better Understand the Double Burden of Malnutrition and to Inform Action Planning

IAEA Headquarters, Vienna, Austria


3-5 October 2017

Joint Workshop Evaluation & Feedback

Organized by:	IAEA-WHO-UNICEF
Location:	IAEA Headquarters, Vienna
Scientific secretary:	C. Loechl
Assistants to scientific secretary:	V. Owino, A. Alford
Rapporteurs:	A. Rahmayanti, B. Wolf, B. Miranda Da-Cruz, P. Kaestel
Total number of participants:	43
Total number of countries:	28


The data below was sourced from contributions from 24 workshop participants.

Overall Workshop Rate (n = 24)




Overall Workshop Rate (n = 24)


Content




Organisation




Presentation



Facilitation




Ability to participation




Overall Workshop Rate (n = 24)


Learning




Networking



Location & Venue




Value for money / time




Evaluation of Sessions (n = 21)

Number of Participants



Meeting Expectations (n = 19)



What would you have liked to have seen done differently?

- More specific questions for 1st breakout session would have been better
- Sitting arrangements
- Food at coffee breaks
- More time for discussions
- Better catering
- More focus on technology and technological solutions on DBM
- Networking was not very easy due to the room setup and brief coffee break
- More days (if discussion times are not possible to be longer)
- To come up with some framework
- Make objectives clearer
- Not to involve too many
- To have even presentations and equal relevance

What did we miss/ should we have done MORE of?

- Micronutrient deficiencies focus
- Focus on technology and technological solutions on DBM
- More stakeholders to get a feel at their opinion and how they fit in the nutrition agenda
- More time for breakout sessions

Should we run another workshop like this? Why?

YES (n=14)

- Small workshop: for more in depth discussion, large workshop: to educate
- Certainly needs follow up monitoring action
- Very practical and informative
- Paper writing for a supplemental issue in American Journal of Clinical Nutrition
- Great learning experience and networking opportunity
- To enable sharing experiences with experts that you have no access to
- To build up on what has been learned and add on to what will have been covered by then and move forward – develop further action plans
- More time for discussion to get a conclusion about action prints
- It is a very good momentum to trigger/catalyse things in the field
- Important to convene the many different players interested in the double burden
- Learning was incredible
- If the focus and aims are clear