

INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON
**Understanding the Double Burden of
Malnutrition for Effective Interventions**

Responsive Feeding: Evidence on Associations with Child Nutrition Status

Aisha K. Yousafzai, PhD

Associate Professor of Global Health

Department of Global Health and Population

Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health



HARVARD
T.H. CHAN
SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH

Outline

- **The theoretical framework of responsive care and responsive feeding.**
- Observational studies: Evidence on associations between responsive feeding behaviours and children's nutrition status.
- Intervention studies: Responsive feeding interventions and children's outcomes.
- Measurement of responsive feeding.
- Summary of evidence

Responsive care



[Source: www.nurturing-care.org]

- Sensitive and responsive caregiving are fundamental caregiving skills.
- Ability of the caregiver to recognize child's cues (e.g., hunger) and to respond promptly in a developmentally appropriate manner.
- Associated with beneficial outcomes for early child development (ECD), early literacy, academic attainment, decreased hospitalizations and increased well-baby visits (Eshel et al., Bull. of the WHO, 2006).

Responsive feeding

- **Responsive feeding**

- Provides healthy food on a regular schedule in a setting conducive to eating
- Caregiver reads infant cues of hunger/satiety
- Responds to infant quickly
 - Direct & Nurturant
 - Builds regulatory skill

- **Unresponsive feeding**

- Controlling, indulgent, or uninvolved/distracted
- Force feeding

Strategies caregivers use to overcome feeding challenges at mealtimes

Strategy reported (n=34)	%	Strategy observed (n=54)	%
Diversion	22.2	Verbal direction	42.6
Follow child with food	20.5	Focus attention	38.9
Force feed	17.7	Question	29.6
Doctor/vitamin	11.8	Divert momentarily	16.7
Beat	5.9	Talk about food	14.8
Take a break	5.9	Model	5.6
Threaten	2.9	Increase food variety	3.7
Increase choices	2.9	Praise	1.9
Wait for child to open mouth	2.9		
No solution	22.2		

[Source: Moore et al., SSM, 2006]

Responsive feeding behaviours in the first 24 months of life

Age	Caregiver preparation	Child skills & signals	Responds to child's signals	What child learns
0-6m	Prepare to feed when child is hungry	Signals hunger thro' voice, facial exp and actions	Feeds when hungry, stop with satiety	Caregiver will respond and meet her needs
6-12m	Ensure child is comfortable, establish mealtime routine	Sit, chew and swallow semi-solids, self-feed with fingers	Increase variety, textures, tastes. Positive response to self-feeding effort	Self-feeding, experience new tastes/texture, Enjoyable mealtimes
12-24m	Offer 3-4 healthy options, offer 2-3 healthy snacks/day, offer food that can be picked up, chewed and swallowed	Self-feed range of foods, use baby safe utensils, use words to signal requests	Recognizes and acts on signals of hunger/satiety Positive response to self-feeding effort	Try new foods, do things for herself, asks for help, trust caregiver will respond

[Source: Black & Aboud, J Nutrition, 2011]

Promote interactions:
Routine,
structure,
expectations,
emotional
context

Child responds
and signals to
caregiver

Caregiver
response:
prompt,
supportive,
developmentally
appropriate

Child
experiences
predictable
responses

[Source: Black & Aboud, J Nutrition, 2011]

Outline

- The theoretical framework of responsive care and responsive feeding.
- **Observational studies: Evidence on associations between responsive feeding behaviours and children's nutrition status.**
- Intervention studies: Responsive feeding interventions and children's outcomes.
- Measurement of responsive feeding.
- Summary of evidence

Feeding skills and food intake

Feeding skills

- Shaping maternal perceptions of child hunger (USA)
- Preventing feeding difficulties/challenges (Australia, Brazil)

Food intake

- Acceptance of food (Australia)
- Mouthfuls eaten (Ethiopia)
- Nutrient intake (Ethiopia)
- Increased duration of breastfeeding (Global review)

Child over nutrition

Review	No of Studies	Context	Study Design	Key Findings	Limitations
Hurley et al., J. Nutrition, 2011	31	High-income countries (USA- low-income, n=22) Infancy- toddlerhood	Cross-sectional (n=25) Longitudinal (n=3) Repeated measures (n=1)	Non- responsive feeding associated with over weight/ obesity , WHZ, BMI Z score	Comparison of tools to assess responsive feeding is challenging Causal pathways not understood
Lindsay et al, Env Res and Pub Health, 2017	14	SE Asia 2-12yrs	Observational (n=14)	Non- responsive feeding associated with risk of obesity	

Child under nutrition

Review	No of Studies	Context	Study Design	Key Findings	Limitations
Bentley et al, J Nutrition, 2011	21	Low & middle income countries <36 mth	Intervention (n=10) Observational (n=11)	Association with WAZ or HAZ (4/6 obs studies and in 9/10 int) Promising evidence on child's food acceptance, maternal verbalization	Among intervention studies, not possible to isolate responsive feeding component in the package. Variation in responsive feeding assessment

Outline

- The theoretical framework of responsive care and responsive feeding.
- Observational studies: Evidence on associations between responsive feeding behaviours and children's nutrition status.
- **Intervention studies: Responsive feeding interventions and children's outcomes.**
- Measurement of responsive feeding.
- Summary of evidence

Feeding skills, food intake, neurodevelopment, weight gain

Feeding skills

- Maternal knowledge (Bangladesh, USA)
- Maternal skills (Bangladesh, USA)
- Independent feeding skills (Bangladesh, Malawi)

Food intake

- Nutrient intake when combined with complimentary feeding package (India, USA)
- Child acceptance of food (Malawi)

Neurodevelopment

- Cognitive development when combined with early stimulation (India)

Outline

- The theoretical framework of responsive care and responsive feeding.
- Observational studies: Evidence on associations between responsive feeding behaviours and children's nutrition status.
- Intervention studies: Responsive feeding interventions and children's outcomes.
- **Measurement of responsive feeding.**
- Summary of evidence

Measurement

- Variety of tools used, including caregiver report and direct observations.
 - No standardized tools
 - No consensus on core items to measure
- Opportunity to modify/adapt caregiver-child interaction tools used in other contexts (e.g., book reading, play, responsive-talk) for responsive feeding.

Outline

- The theoretical framework of responsive care and responsive feeding.
- Observational studies: Evidence on associations between responsive feeding behaviours and children's nutrition status.
- Intervention studies: Responsive feeding interventions and children's outcomes.
- Measurement of responsive feeding.
- **Summary of evidence**

What we know?

- Eating behaviours and dietary habits are shaped early in life.
- Influenced by a number of factors including access to healthy foods, caregiver modeling and responsive behaviours.
- Evidence on the association of responsive feeding and feeding skills (caregiver and child), food acceptance, reduction of feeding difficulties is promising.
- Evidence on association of non-responsive feeding and risk of over-weight/obesity is found in observational studies.
- Evidence on association of responsive feeding combined with other intervention components. and under weight is observed in intervention studies from low and middle-income countries

Evidence gaps

- Informing intervention packages that include responsive feeding:
 - Qualitative studies to understand socio-cultural perceptions of responsive feeding.
 - Predictors of responsive care and responsive feeding include maternal stress (e.g., Elias et al., *Childhood Obesity*, 2016) and maternal executive functions (e.g., Fuglestad et al., *Pediatric Obesity*, 2017).
- Evidence from intervention studies is limited:
 - Not able to isolate the effects of responsive feeding from the intervention package.
 - Casual pathways are not investigated.
 - Implementation strategies are not reported (e.g., behaviour change techniques)

INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON
**Understanding the Double Burden of
Malnutrition for Effective Interventions**

THANK YOU!

